

STANLEY DEPTH AND COMPLETE k -PARTITE HYPERGRAPHS

MUHAMMAD ISHAQ AND MUHAMMAD IMRAN QURESHI

ABSTRACT. We give an upper bound for the Stanley depth of the edge ideal of a complete k -partite hypergraph and as an application we give an upper bound for the Stanley depth of a monomial ideal in a polynomial ring S . We also give a lower and an upper bound for the cyclic module S/I associated to the complete k -partite hypergraph.

Key words: Monomial ideals, Stanley decomposition, Stanley depth.
2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 13C15, Secondary 13P10, 13F20, 05E45, 05C65.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let K be a field, $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be the polynomial ring in n variables over a field K and M be a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded S -module. Let $u \in M$ be a homogeneous element in M and Z a subset of the set of variables $Z \subset \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. We denote by $uK[Z]$ the K -subspace of M generated by all elements uv where v is a monomial in $K[Z]$. If $uK[Z]$ is a free $K[Z]$ -module, the $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded K -space $uK[Z] \subset M$ is called a Stanley space of dimension $|Z|$. A Stanley decomposition of M is a presentation of the $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded K -vector space M as a finite direct sum of Stanley spaces

$$\mathcal{D} : M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s u_i K[Z_i].$$

The number

$$\text{sdepth } \mathcal{D} = \min\{|Z_i| : i = 1, \dots, s\}$$

is called the Stanley depth of decomposition $\mathcal{D}$ and the number

$$\text{sdepth } M := \max\{\text{sdepth } \mathcal{D} : \mathcal{D} \text{ is a Stanley decomposition of } M\}$$

is called the Stanley depth of M . This is a combinatorial invariant and does not depend on the characteristic of K . The following open conjecture is due to Stanley [13]:

$$\text{depth } M \leq \text{sdepth } M,$$

for all finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded S -modules M .

Let $\mathbf{H} = (V, E)$ denote a hypergraph with vertex set V and hyperedge set E . A

The authors would like to express their gratitude to ASSMS of GC University Lahore for creating a very appropriate atmosphere for research work. This research is partially supported by HEC Pakistan.

hyperedge $e \in E$ is a subset of the vertices. That is, $e \subset V$ for each $e \in E$. A hypergraph is called complete k -partite if the vertices are partitioned into k disjoint subsets V_i , $i = 1, \dots, k$ and E consists of all hyperedges containing exactly one vertex from each of the k subsets.

In this paper we try to answer the following question asked by B. Nill and K. Vorwerk in [8].

Question 1.1 ([8]). Let I be the edge ideal of a complete k -partite hypergraph $\mathbf{H}_d^k$. Here, $\mathbf{H}_d^k$ has kd vertices divided into k independent sets $V^{(i)}$ (for $i = 1, \dots, k$) each with d vertices $v_1^{(i)}, \dots, v_d^{(i)}$, and $\mathbf{H}_d^k$ has d^k hyperedges consisting of exactly k vertices. Then I is squarefree monomial ideal in the polynomial ring $K[v_j^{(i)} : i \in \{1, \dots, k\}, j \in \{1, \dots, d\}]$:

$$I = (v_1^{(1)}, \dots, v_d^{(1)}) \cdots (v_1^{(k)}, \dots, v_d^{(k)}).$$

What is $\text{sdepth}(S/I)$ in this case?

We consider this question even in more general frame. We consider the case where each vertex set $V^{(i)}$ is not necessarily of the same cardinality. Let I be the edge ideal of a complete k -partite hypergraph $\mathbf{H}^k$, where $\mathbf{H}^k$ has n vertices divided into k independent sets $V^{(i)}$ (for $i = 1, \dots, k$) each with d_i vertices $v_1^{(i)}, \dots, v_{d_i}^{(i)}$, and $\mathbf{H}^k$ has $d_1 d_2 \cdots d_k$ hyperedges consisting of exactly k vertices. To each vertex set $V^{(i)}$ we associate a set of variables $\{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{d_i}}\}$ and set $S = K[(x_{i_j})]$. Now let $V^{(i)}$ and $V^{(j)}$ be two vertex sets, $\{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{d_i}}\}$ and $\{x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_{d_j}}\}$ be the sets of variables associated to $V^{(i)}$ and $V^{(j)}$ respectively. Since $V^{(i)}$ and $V^{(j)}$ are independent we have $\{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{d_i}}\} \cap \{x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_{d_j}}\} = \emptyset$. Then I is the squarefree monomial ideal in the polynomial ring S :

$$I = P_1 P_2 \cdots P_k = P_1 \cap P_2 \cap \cdots \cap P_k,$$

where $P_i = (x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{d_i}})$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k P_i = \mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$. We give a tight upper bound to $\text{sdepth}(I)$ see our Theorem 2.6 and as an application we give an upper bound for the Stanley depth of a monomial ideal (see Theorem 2.14). In some cases we are able to give the exact values of $\text{sdepth}(I)$ (see our corollaries 2.9, 2.10). We also give a tight lower bound to $\text{sdepth}(S/I)$ see our Theorem 3.1. Our Proposition 3.8 gives an upper bound for Stanley depth of S/I but is big in general. We owe thanks to the Referee who inspired us Theorem 2.2.

2. EDGE IDEALS OF A COMPLETE k -PARTITE HYPERGRAPH

We recall the method of Herzog et al. [3] for computing the Stanley depth of a monomial ideal I using posets. For $c \in \mathbb{N}^n$, let x^c denote the monomial $x_1^{c(1)} x_2^{c(2)} \cdots x_n^{c(n)}$ and let $I = (x^{a_1}, x^{a_2}, \dots, x^{a_m})$ be a monomial ideal of S . Let $g \in \mathbb{N}^n$ be the componentwise maximum of a_i . Then characteristic poset of I with respect to g (see [3]), denoted by $\mathcal{P}_I^g$ is in fact the set

$$\mathcal{P}_I^g = \{c \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid c \leq g, \text{ there is } i \text{ such that } c \geq a_i\},$$

where $\leq$ denotes the partial order in $\mathbb{N}^n$ which is given by componentwise comparison. For every $a, b \in \mathcal{P}_I^g$ with $a \leq b$, define the interval $[a, b]$ to be $\{c \in \mathcal{P}_I^g : a \leq c \leq b\}$. Let $\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{P}_I^g = \bigcup_{i=1}^r [c_i, d_i]$ be a partition of $\mathcal{P}_I^g$, define $\rho(d_i) := |\{j : d_i(j) = g(j)\}|$. Define the Stanley depth of a partition $\mathcal{P}$ to be

$$\text{sdepth}(\mathcal{P}) = \min_{[c_i, d_i] \in \mathcal{P}} \rho(d_i)$$

and the Stanley depth of the poset $\mathcal{P}_I^g$ to be $\text{sdepth}(\mathcal{P}_I^g) := \max_{\mathcal{P}} \text{sdepth}(\mathcal{P})$, where maximum is taken over all the partitions $\mathcal{P}$ of $\mathcal{P}_I^g$ into intervals. Herzog et al., showed in [3] that $\text{sdepth}(I) = \text{sdepth}(\mathcal{P}_I^g)$. Next lemma is a small extension of [2, Lemma 1.1], its proof is given for the sake of our completeness.

Lemma 2.1. *Let r, m and a be positive integers with $r < m$ and $v_1, \dots, v_m \in K[x_2, \dots, x_n]$ be some monomials of S . Let $I = (x_1^a v_1, \dots, x_1^a v_r, v_{r+1}, \dots, v_m)$ and $I' = (x_1^{a+1} v_1, \dots, x_1^{a+1} v_r, v_{r+1}, \dots, v_m)$ be monomial ideals of S . Then*

$$\text{sdepth}(I) = \text{sdepth}(I').$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{P}_I^g = \bigcup_{i=1}^r [c_i, d_i]$ be a partition of $\mathcal{P}_I^g$ such that $\text{sdepth}(\mathcal{P}) = \text{sdepth}(I)$, Define

$$c'_i = \begin{cases} c_i, & \text{if } c_i(1) < a \\ c_i + e_1, & \text{if } c_i(1) = a \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad d'_i = \begin{cases} d_i, & \text{if } d_i(1) < a - 1 \\ d_i + e_1, & \text{if } d_i(1) \geq a - 1 \end{cases}.$$

Let $g' := (g(1) + 1, g(2), \dots, g(n)) \in \mathbb{N}^n$. Let $\mathcal{P}_{I'}^{g'}$ be the characteristic poset of I' with respect to g' . We claim that there exists a partition $\mathcal{P}' : \mathcal{P}_{I'}^{g'} = \bigcup_{i=1}^r [c'_i, d'_i]$ of $\mathcal{P}_{I'}^{g'}$. Note that $\rho(d_i) = \rho(d'_i)$ for all $i \in [r]$. Indeed, $d'_i(1) = g'(1) = a + 1$ if and only if $d_i(1) = g(1) = a$ and $d'_i(j) = g(j)$ if and only if $d_i(j) = g(j)$, for all $j \geq 2$. Therefore $\text{sdepth}(I) \leq \text{sdepth}(I')$. Now we have to prove our claim. First we show that $\mathcal{P}_{I'}^{g'} = \bigcup_i [c'_i, d'_i]$. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}_{I'}^{g'}$. If $\alpha(1) \leq a$ then $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}_I^g$ that is $\alpha \in [c_i, d_i]$ for some i , because if $\alpha \notin \mathcal{P}_I^g$ then we have $x_1^{a+1} v_k | x^\alpha$ for some $k \leq r$ and therefore $\alpha(1) = a + 1$, a contradiction.

If $\alpha(1) < a$, it follows that $c_i(1) < a$ and therefore $c'_i = c_i$. We get $c'_i = c_i \leq d_i \leq d'_i$, thus $\alpha \in [c'_i, d'_i]$. If $\alpha(1) = a$, it follows that $d_i(1) = a$ and $d'_i(1) = a + 1$. Suppose that $\alpha \notin [c'_i, d'_i]$. Then $\alpha - e_1 \in \mathcal{P}_I^g$ because $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}_{I'}^{g'}$, $\alpha(1) \neq a + 1$ and thus $x_1^{a+1} v_k$ does not divide x^α for all $k \leq r$. It follows that $\alpha - e_1 \in [c_j, d_j]$ for some $j \neq i$. But $(\alpha - e_1)(1) = a - 1$ which implies $d_j(1) \geq a - 1$. It follows that $\alpha \leq d_j + e_1 = d'_j$ and therefore $\alpha \in [c'_j, d'_j]$, because $c'_j = c_j$.

If $\alpha(1) = a + 1$, it follows that $\alpha - e_1 \in \mathcal{P}_I^g$ and therefore $\alpha - e_1 \in [c_i, d_i]$ for some i . Indeed, if $x_1^{a+1} v_k | x^\alpha$ for some k then $x_1^a v_k | x^{\alpha - e_1}$, else if $v_k | x^\alpha$ for some $k \geq r + 1$ then $v_k | x^{\alpha - e_1}$. But $(\alpha - e_1)(1) = a$ and therefore $d_i(1) = a$. We get $\alpha \leq d_i + e_1 = d'_i$ and thus $\alpha \in [c'_i, d'_i]$.

Now, we must prove that for any $i \neq j$, we have $[c'_i, d'_i] \cap [c'_j, d'_j] = \emptyset$. Assume that there exists some $\alpha \in [c'_i, d'_i] \cap [c'_j, d'_j]$. If $\alpha(1) < a$ then $\alpha \leq d_k$ and $\alpha \geq c'_k = c_k$ for $k = i, j$. Suppose that $\alpha(1) \geq a$. Then $d'_j(1) \geq a$. If $c_i(1) < a$ then $\alpha - e_1 \geq c_i = c'_i$. If $c_i(1) = a$ then $\alpha - e_1 \geq c'_i - e_1 = c_i$. Hence $\alpha - e_1 \in [c_i, d_i]$ and similarly,

$\alpha - e_1 \in [c_j, d_j]$, which gives again a contradiction.

Now since $x_1 \notin I'$ and $I' : x_1 = I$ then by [11, Proposition 2] we have $\text{sdepth}(I) \geq \text{sdepth}(I')$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Theorem 2.2. *Let $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^k Q_i \subset S$ be a monomial ideal such that each Q_i is irreducible and $G(\sqrt{Q_i}) \cap G(\sqrt{Q_j}) = \emptyset$ for all $i \neq j$, then $\text{sdepth}(I) = \text{sdepth}(\sqrt{I})$.*

Proof. We may suppose that $\text{ht}(Q_i) \geq 2$ for all i because if for example $\text{ht}(Q_k) = 1$, then we may remove Q_k since $I \cong \bigcap_{i=1}^{k-1} Q_i$. Let $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m\}$ be the set of minimal monomial generators of I . If I is squarefree then $I = \sqrt{I}$. In the case that I is not squarefree, we may assume that x_1^2 divides some v_i . We may further assume that $x_1 | v_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$ and x_1 does not divide v_i for $i > r$. Then, since $G(\sqrt{Q_i}) \cap G(\sqrt{Q_j}) = \emptyset$ for all $i \neq j$, it follows that there exists an integer $a \geq 1$ such that $x_1^{a+1} | v_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Now we apply Lemma 2.1 and conclude that $\text{sdepth}(I) = \text{sdepth}(v_1/x_1, \dots, v_r/x_1, v_{r+1}, \dots, v_m)$. Thus an obvious induction argument completes the proof. $\square$

Remark 2.3. In the setting of Theorem 2.2, if Q_i are not irreducible for all i then the result is false. For example if $n = 4$, $I = (x_1^2, x_1x_2, x_2^2) \cap (x_3^2, x_3x_4, x_4^2)$ and $\mathcal{P}$ is a partition of $\mathcal{P}_I^g$, $g = (2, 2, 2, 2)$ then we must have 9 intervals $[a, b]$ in $\mathcal{P}$ starting with the generators a of I but only 8 monomials b are in $\mathcal{P}_I^g$ with $\rho(b) = 3$, the biggest one $x_1^2x_2^2x_3^2x_4^2$ cannot be taken. Thus $\text{sdepth } I < 3$. But clearly $\text{sdepth}(\sqrt{I}) = 3$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let I be a squarefree monomial ideal of S generated by monomials of degree d . Let A be the number of monomials of degree d and B be the number of monomials of degree $d + 1$ in I . Then*

$$d \leq \text{sdepth}(I) \leq d + \lfloor \frac{B}{A} \rfloor,$$

where $\lfloor a \rfloor$, $a \in \mathbb{Q}$, denotes the largest integer which is not greater than a .

Proof. We follow the proof of [4, Theorem 2.8] (see also [6] and [7]). Let $k := \text{sdepth}(I)$. The poset $\mathcal{P}_I^g$ has a partition $\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{P}_I^g = \bigcup_{i=1}^s [c_i, d_i]$, satisfying $\text{sdepth}(\mathcal{P}) = k$. For each interval $[c_i, d_i]$ in $\mathcal{P}$ with $|c_i| = d$ we have $|d_i| \geq k$. Also there are $|d_i| - |c_i|$ subsets of cardinality $d + 1$ in this interval. Since these intervals are disjoint, counting the number of subsets of cardinality d and $d + 1$ we have $(k - d)A \leq B$ that is $k \leq d + \frac{B}{A}$. Hence $\text{sdepth}(I) \leq d + \lfloor \frac{B}{A} \rfloor$. The other inequality follows by [7, Lemma 2.1]. $\square$

Corollary 2.5. *Let I be a squarefree monomial ideal of S generated by monomials of degree d . If $\binom{n}{d+1} < |G(I)|$ then $\text{sdepth}(I) = d$.*

Theorem 2.6. *Let $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^k P_i$ be a monomial ideal in S where each P_i is a monomial*

prime ideal and $\sum_{i=1}^k P_i = \mathfrak{m}$. Suppose that $G(P_i) \cap G(P_j) = \emptyset$ for all $i \neq j$. Then

$$\text{sdepth}(I) \leq \frac{n + k}{2}.$$

Proof. Note that I is generated by monomials of degree k . Let $|G(P_i)| = d_i$ then clearly the number of monomials of degree k in I is $d_1 d_2 \cdots d_k$. Now let $v \in I$ be a monomial of degree $k+1$, then v is divisible by at least one variable from $G(P_i)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$ and one of these sets contains two variables from $\text{supp}(v) := \{x_j : x_j | v\}$. We may suppose that all $d_i \geq 2$ because if for example $d_k = 1$, then we may remove P_k because $I \cong \cap_{i=1}^{k-1} P_i$. Fix first $G(P_1)$ such that $\text{supp}(v)$ contains two variables from $G(P_1)$ then the number of such monomials is $\binom{d_1}{2} d_2 d_3 \cdots d_k$. Now let $\text{supp}(v)$ contains two variables from $G(P_2)$ then the number of such monomials is $\binom{d_2}{2} d_1 d_3 \cdots d_k$. Continuing in the same way for all $G(P_i)$, $3 \leq i \leq k$. We get the total number of monomials of degree $k+1$ is

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \binom{d_i}{2} d_1 \cdots d_{i-1} d_{i+1} \cdots d_k = \frac{d_1 d_2 \cdots d_k}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k d_i - k \right) = \frac{d_1 d_2 \cdots d_k}{2} (n - k).$$

Now by Lemma 2.4 we have

$$\text{sdepth}(I) \leq k + \frac{1}{d_1 d_2 \cdots d_k} \cdot \frac{d_1 d_2 \cdots d_k}{2} (n - k) = k + \frac{n - k}{2} = \frac{n + k}{2}.$$

□

Let $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^k Q_i$ be a monomial ideal such that each Q_i is irreducible and $G(\sqrt{Q_i}) \cap G(\sqrt{Q_j}) = \emptyset$ for all $i \neq j$, $\text{ht}(Q_i) = d_i$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k \sqrt{Q_i} = \mathfrak{m}$. We define a set

$$A := \{Q_i : \text{ht}(Q_i) \text{ is odd}\}.$$

Corollary 2.7. *Let $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^k Q_i$ be a monomial ideal such that each Q_i is irreducible and $G(\sqrt{Q_i}) \cap G(\sqrt{Q_j}) = \emptyset$ for all $i \neq j$, $\text{ht}(Q_i) = d_i$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k \sqrt{Q_i} = \mathfrak{m}$. Then*

$$\frac{n + |A|}{2} \leq \text{sdepth}(I) \leq \lfloor \frac{n + k}{2} \rfloor.$$

Proof. By [9, Lemma 1.2] we have $\lceil \frac{d_1}{2} \rceil + \lceil \frac{d_2}{2} \rceil + \cdots + \lceil \frac{d_k}{2} \rceil \leq \text{sdepth}(I)$. Now by Theorem 2.2 we have $\text{sdepth}(I) = \text{sdepth}(\sqrt{I})$ and by Theorem 2.6 the required result follows. □

Remark 2.8. With the hypothesis from the above Corollary, n is odd if and only if $|A|$ is odd, thus $n + |A|$ is always even.

Corollary 2.9. *Let $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^k Q_i$ be a monomial ideal such that each Q_i is irreducible and $G(\sqrt{Q_i}) \cap G(\sqrt{Q_j}) = \emptyset$ for all $i \neq j$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k \sqrt{Q_i} = \mathfrak{m}$. Suppose that $|A| = k$, then*

$$\text{sdepth}(I) = \frac{n + k}{2}.$$

Proof. The proof follows by Corollary 2.7 and Remark 2.8. $\square$

Corollary 2.10. *Let $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^k Q_i$ be a monomial ideal such that each Q_i is irreducible and $G(\sqrt{Q_i}) \cap G(\sqrt{Q_j}) = \emptyset$ for all $i \neq j$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k \sqrt{Q_i} = \mathfrak{m}$. Suppose that k is odd and $|A| = k - 1$ then,*

$$\text{sdepth}(I) = \frac{n + k - 1}{2}.$$

Proof. Since k is odd then $k - 1$ is even and since $|A| = k - 1$ is even thus n is even and so $n + k - 1$ is even. The result follows by Corollary 2.7. $\square$

Remark 2.11. The bounds found above for $\text{sdepth}(I)$ are certainly sufficient to show Stanley's Conjecture in this case because $\text{sdepth}(I) \geq k = \text{depth}(I)$, as it is done in [9, Theorem 1.4] and [5, Theorem 3.2] in a more general frame. It is a difficult combinatorial question to find the precise value of $\text{sdepth}(I)$. It is hard to find $\text{sdepth}(I)$ for example in the case $k = 2$ and n even (see [4, Corollary 2.10]). We believe that in this case $\text{sdepth}(I) = (n/2) + 1$ but we can prove it only for small n . The trouble is that we must construct a partition $\mathcal{P}$ on $\mathcal{P}_I^g$ such that $\text{sdepth}(\mathcal{P}) = (n/2) + 1$. For example if $n = 6$ and $I = (x_1, x_2) \cap (x_3, \dots, x_6)$ then we have the partition $\mathcal{P}_I^g = [13, 1345] \cup [14, 1456] \cup [15, 1256] \cup [16, 1236] \cup [23, 1234] \cup [24, 1245] \cup [25, 2356] \cup [26, 2346] \cup (\cup_C [C, C])$, where $C \subset [6]$ with $|C| = 5, 6$, or $C \in \{1235, 1246, 1346, 1356, 2345, 2456\}$. Thus $\text{sdepth}(I) = 4 = (n/2) + 1$.

Corollary 2.12. *Let I be the edge ideal of a complete k -partite hypergraph $\mathbf{H}_d^k$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sdepth}(I) &= \frac{n + k}{2}, & \text{if } d \text{ is odd;} \\ \frac{n}{2} \leq \text{sdepth}(I) &\leq \frac{n + k}{2}, & \text{if } d \text{ is even.} \end{aligned}$$

In the next theorem we give an upper bound for the Stanley depth of any monomial ideal of S . We will need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.13. ([5, Lemma 4.4]) *Let $I \subset \hat{S} = S[x_{n+1}]$ be a monomial ideal, x_{n+1} being a new variable. If $I \cap S \neq (0)$, then $\text{sdepth}_S(I \cap S) \geq \text{sdepth}_{\hat{S}} I' - 1$.*

Theorem 2.14. *Let I be a monomial ideal and let $\text{Min}(S/I) = \{P_1, \dots, P_s\}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^s P_i = \mathfrak{m}$. Let $d_i := |G(P_i) \setminus G(\sum_{j \neq i} P_j)|$, and $r := |\{d_i : d_i \neq 0\}|$. Suppose that $r \geq 1$. Then*

$$\text{sdepth}(I) \leq (2n + r - \sum_{i=1}^s d_i)/2.$$

Proof. We may assume that P_i 's be prime ideals such that $G(P'_i) = G(P_i) \setminus G(\sum_{j \neq i} P_j)$ and $\text{ht}(P'_i) \neq 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r \leq s$. We have $G(P'_i) \cap G(P'_j) = \emptyset$ for all

$i \neq j$. Let $A := \bigcup_{i=1}^r G(P'_i)$, $S' = K[A]$ and $B := \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^r G(P'_i)$, then $|B| = n - \sum_{i=1}^r d_i$. Now applying Lemma 2.13 by recurrence on the set B we have

$$\text{sdepth}_S(\sqrt{I}) \leq \text{sdepth}_{S'}\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^r P'_i\right) + |B|.$$

By Theorem 2.6 we have $\text{sdepth}_{S'}\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^r P'_i\right) \leq \frac{|A|+r}{2}$. But $|A| = n - |B|$ thus we have

$$\text{sdepth}(\sqrt{I}) \leq \frac{n - |B| + r}{2} + |B| = \frac{2n + r - \sum_{i=1}^s d_i}{2}.$$

By [4, Corollary 2.2] $\text{sdepth}(I) \leq \text{sdepth}(\sqrt{I})$ and we get $\text{sdepth}(I) \leq (2n + r - \sum_{i=1}^s d_i)/2$. $\square$

Example 2.15. Let $I = (x_1 \dots, x_9) \cap (x_9, \dots, x_{18}) \cap (x_{18}, \dots, x_{27}) \cap (x_{27}, \dots, x_{36}) \subset K[x_1, \dots, x_{36}]$. We have $d_1 = 8, d_2 = 8, d_3 = 8, d_4 = 9$ and $s = 4$, then by Theorem 2.14 we have $\text{sdepth}(I) \leq 23$.

Remark 2.16. In the above example we have that $\text{sdepth}(I) \leq 23$ but by [5, Theorem 2.1] we have only $\text{sdepth}(I) \leq 31$.

3. CYCLIC MODULES ASSOCIATED TO A COMPLETE k -PARTITE HYPERGRAPH

Theorem 3.1. Let $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a polynomial ring and $Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_k$ monomial irreducible ideals of S such that $G(\sqrt{Q_i}) \cap G(\sqrt{Q_j}) = \emptyset$ for all $i \neq j$.

Let $r_i := \text{ht}(Q_i)$, $\sum_{i=1}^k r_i = n$. If $I = Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \dots \cap Q_k$, then

$$\text{sdepth}(S/I) \geq \min \left\{ n - r_1, \min_{2 \leq i \leq k} \left\{ \left\lceil \frac{r_1}{2} \right\rceil + \dots + \left\lceil \frac{r_{i-1}}{2} \right\rceil + r_{i+1} + \dots + r_k \right\} \right\},$$

where $\lceil a \rceil$, $a \in \mathbb{Q}$, denotes the smallest integer which is not less than a .

Proof. As a K -linear space S/I is isomorphic to the direct sum of some multigraded modules as,

$$\begin{aligned} S/I \cong S/Q_1 \oplus (Q_1/Q_1 \cap Q_2) \oplus (Q_1 \cap Q_2/Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap Q_3) \oplus \dots \\ \oplus (Q_1 \cap \dots \cap Q_{k-1}/Q_1 \cap \dots \cap Q_k), \end{aligned}$$

and we have

$$\text{sdepth}(S/I) \geq$$

$$\min\{\text{sdepth } S/Q_1, \text{sdepth } Q_1/(Q_1 \cap Q_2), \dots, \text{sdepth}(Q_1 \cap \dots \cap Q_{k-1})/(Q_1 \cap \dots \cap Q_k)\}.$$

By [10, Lemma 1.1] $\text{sdepth}(S/Q_1) = n - r_1$. Now since

$$(Q_1 \cap \dots \cap Q_{i-1})/(Q_1 \cap \dots \cap Q_i) \cong (Q_1 \cap \dots \cap Q_{i-1} + Q_i)/Q_i$$

and

$$(Q_1 \cap \dots \cap Q_{i-1} + Q_i)/Q_i \cong Q_1 \cap \dots \cap Q_{i-1} \cap K[x_j \mid x_j \notin G(\sqrt{Q_i})]$$

Now by using [9, Lemma 1.2] and [3, Lemma 3.6] we have

$$\text{sdepth}((Q_1 \cap \dots \cap Q_{i-1})/(Q_1 \cap \dots \cap Q_i)) \geq \lceil \frac{r_1}{2} \rceil + \dots + \lceil \frac{r_{i-1}}{2} \rceil + r_{i+1} + \dots + r_k.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \min\{\text{sdepth } S/Q_1, \text{sdepth } Q_1/(Q_1 \cap Q_2), \dots, \text{sdepth}(Q_1 \cap \dots \cap Q_{k-1})/(Q_1 \cap \dots \cap Q_k)\} \\ \geq \min\left\{n - r_1, \min_{2 \leq i \leq k} \left\{\lceil \frac{r_1}{2} \rceil + \dots + \lceil \frac{r_{i-1}}{2} \rceil + r_{i+1} + \dots + r_k\right\}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 3.2. The theorem says in particular that Stanley's conjecture holds for S/I in the above settings, because $\text{sdepth}(I) \geq k-1 = \text{depth}(S/I)$ as it was noticed in [5, Theorem 3.2]. The found lower bound depends on the numbering of (r_i) as shows the following example.

Example 3.3. Let $I = P_1 \cap P_2 \cap P_3 \cap P_4 \subset K[x_1, \dots, x_{14}]$ where $G(P_i) \cap G(P_j) \neq \emptyset$ for all $i \neq j$, $P_1 + P_2 + P_3 + P_4 = (x_1, \dots, x_{14})$ and $\text{ht}(P_1) = 5$, $\text{ht}(P_2) = 4$, $\text{ht}(P_3) = 3$, $\text{ht}(P_4) = 2$. Then by above theorem we have $\text{sdepth}(S/I) \geq \min\{9, \min\{8, 7, 7\}\} = 7$. Now let us reorder these primes or equivalently consider that $\text{ht}(P_1) = 4$, $\text{ht}(P_2) = 5$, $\text{ht}(P_3) = 2$, $\text{ht}(P_4) = 3$. Then again by the above theorem we have $\text{sdepth}(S/I) \geq \min\{10, \min\{7, 8, 6\}\} = 6$.

Corollary 3.4. Let $r_1 \geq r_2 = \dots = r_k$, then

$$\text{sdepth}(S/I) \geq \lceil \frac{r_1}{2} \rceil + \dots + \lceil \frac{r_{k-1}}{2} \rceil.$$

Corollary 3.5. Let I be the edge ideal of a complete k -partite hypergraph $\mathbf{H}_d^k$. Then

$$\text{sdepth}(S/I) \geq \lceil \frac{d}{2} \rceil (k-1).$$

Remark 3.6. In Corollary 3.5 if we take $k = 2$ then we have $\text{sdepth}(S/I) \geq \lceil \frac{d}{2} \rceil$ but by [10] and [8] we have $\text{sdepth}(S/I) = \lceil \frac{d}{2} \rceil$. This shows that the bound is equal to the actual value in this case. If d is odd in Corollary 3.5 then $\text{sdepth}(S/I) \geq \frac{n+k}{2} - \frac{d+1}{2}$. Now assume that $\text{sdepth}(I) \geq \text{sdepth}(S/I) + 1$, as A. Rauf asks in [12], (for $k = 2$ this inequality is true [10]). Then by Theorem 2.6 we have $\text{sdepth}(S/I) \leq \frac{n+k}{2} - 1$. If this is the case then our lower bound by Corollary 3.5 could be a reasonable one, as it is clear that for $d = 3$ we have $\frac{n+k}{2} - 2 \leq \text{sdepth}(S/I) \leq \frac{n+k}{2} - 1$.

Next we give an upper bound for the Stanley depth of S/I with $I = P_1 \cap P_2 \cap \dots \cap P_k$, (P_i) being monomial prime ideals such that $G(P_i) \cap G(P_j) = \emptyset$. Let $\text{ht}(P_i) = r_i$. By [3, Lemma 3.6] it is enough to consider that $P_1 + P_2 + \dots + P_k = \mathfrak{m}$. Let $\mathcal{D} : S/I = \bigoplus_{i=1}^p u_i K[Z_i]$ be a Stanley decomposition. Then Z_i cannot have in the same time variables from all $G(P_i)$, otherwise $u_i K[Z_i]$ will not be a free $K[Z_i]$ -module. Suppose $u_1 = 1$ and $Z_1 \subset \{x_{r_1+1}, \dots, x_n\}$.

Lemma 3.7. Let $r_2 \geq r_3 \geq \dots \geq r_k \geq 1$. Then

$$\text{sdepth}(\mathcal{D}) \leq \lceil \frac{r_1}{2} \rceil + r_2 + r_3 + \dots + r_{k-1}.$$

Proof. We can assume that $P_1 = (x_1, \dots, x_{r_1})$ and

$$\psi : P_1 \cap K[x_1, \dots, x_{r_1}] \hookrightarrow S/I$$

be the inclusion given by

$$K[x_1, \dots, x_{r_1}] \hookrightarrow S/I.$$

Then $P_1 \cap K[x_1, \dots, x_{r_1}] = \bigoplus_i \psi^{-1}(u_i K[Z_i])$. If $\psi^{-1}(u_i K[Z_i]) \neq 0$ implies there exists $u_i f \in u_i K[Z_i]$ with $u_i f \in P_1 \cap K[x_1, \dots, x_{r_1}]$, but then $u_i \neq 1$ and so $u_i \in P_1 \cap K[x_1, \dots, x_{r_1}]$. Let $Z'_i = Z_i \cap \{x_1, \dots, x_{r_1}\}$. Then $\psi^{-1}(u_i K[Z_i]) = u_i K[Z'_i]$ and we get a Stanley decomposition of $J := P_1 \cap K[x_1, \dots, x_{r_1}]$. Since $\text{sdepth}(J) = \lceil \frac{r_1}{2} \rceil$ by [1] it follows that

$$|Z'_i| \leq \text{sdepth}(J) = \lceil \frac{r_1}{2} \rceil.$$

But Z_i cannot have variables from all $G(P_j)$ and we get $Z_i \subset \bigcup_{1=e \neq j}^k G(P_e)$ for some $j \neq 1$. Therefore

$$Z_i \subset \{Z'_i \cup G(P_2) \cup G(P_3) \cup \dots \cup G(P_k)\} \setminus G(P_j) \text{ for some } j \neq 1.$$

Thus we have

$$\text{sdepth}(\mathcal{D}) \leq \lceil \frac{r_1}{2} \rceil + r_2 + r_3 + \dots + r_{j-1} + r_{j+1} + \dots + r_k \leq \lceil \frac{r_1}{2} \rceil + r_2 + r_3 + \dots + r_{k-1}.$$

□

Proposition 3.8. *Suppose that $r_1 \geq r_2 \geq \dots \geq r_k$, $k \geq 3$. Then*

$$\text{sdepth}(S/I) \leq \lceil \frac{r_{k-1}}{2} \rceil + r_1 + r_2 + \dots + r_{k-2}.$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a Stanley decomposition of S/I , $S/I = \bigoplus_{i=1}^p u_i K[Z_i]$ such that

$\text{sdepth}(\mathcal{D}) = \text{sdepth}(S/I)$. Then there exists $1 \leq m \leq k$ such that $Z_1 \subset \bigcup_{1=e \neq m}^k G(P_e)$.

By the above lemma $\text{sdepth}(\mathcal{D}) \leq \sum_{1=i \neq m}^{k-1} r_i + \lceil \frac{r_m}{2} \rceil$, which is enough. □

Corollary 3.9. *Let I be the edge ideal of a complete k -partite hypergraph $\mathbf{H}_d^k$. Then*

$$(k-1)\lceil \frac{d}{2} \rceil \leq \text{sdepth}(S/I) \leq (k-2)d + \lceil \frac{d}{2} \rceil.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Biro, D.M. Howard, M.T. Keller, W.T. Trotter, S.J. Young, *Interval partitions and Stanley depth*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A (2009), in press, doi:10.1016/j.jcta.2009.07.008.
- [2] M. Cimpoeaş, *Stanley depth of complete intersection monomial ideals*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie, 51(99) no 3, 2008, 205211.
- [3] J. Herzog, M. Vladoiu, X. Zheng, *How to compute the Stanley depth of a monomial ideal*, J. Algebra, 322(9)(2009), 3151-3169.
- [4] M. Ishaq, *Upper bounds for the Stanley depth*, to appear in Comm. Algebra, arXiv:1003.3471v1.
- [5] M. Ishaq, *Values and bounds of the Stanley depth*, to appear in Carpathian J. Math., arXiv:1010.4692v1.

- [6] M. Ishaq, M.I. Qureshi, *Stanley depth of edge ideals*, to appear in Studia Sci. Math. Hungarica, arXiv:1104.1018v1.
- [7] M.T. Keller, Y. Shen, N. Streib, S.J. Young, *On the Stanley Depth of Squarefree Veronese Ideals* arXiv:0910.4645v1.
- [8] B. Nill, K. Vorwerk, *Stanley's conjecture, cover depth and extremal simplicial complexes*, LE MATEMATICHE LXIII(2008)-Fasc. II, pp.213-228.
- [9] A. Popescu, *Special Stanley decomposition*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sc. Math. Roumanie, 52(101), no 4 (2010), 363-372.
- [10] D. Popescu, M.I. Qureshi, *Computing the Stanley depth*, J. Algebra 323 (10) (2010), 2943-2959.
- [11] D. Popescu, *Bounds of Stanley depth*, Analele Univ. Ovidius Constanta, 19(2011), 187-194.
- [12] A. Rauf, *Depth and Stanley depth of multigraded modules*, Comm. Algebra, 38 (2010), 773-784.
- [13] R.P. Stanley, *Linear Diophantine equations and local cohomology*, Invent. Math. 68 (1982) 175-193.

MUHAMMAD ISHAQ, ABDUS SALAM SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, GC UNIVERSITY, LAHORE, 68-B NEW MUSLIM TOWN LAHORE, PAKISTAN.

E-mail address: ishaq-maths@yahoo.com

MUHAMMAD IMRAN QURESHI, COMSATS INSTITUTE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY, VEhari CAMPUS, MULTAN ROAD VEhari, PAKISTAN.

E-mail address: imranqureshi18@gmail.com